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ABSTRACT

An orange painted tank was proved to be suitable for rearing milkfish larvae. However, light intensity was suspected to affect the suitability such tanks. The research investigated the value of the orange colour suitable for milkfish larval rearing under all light intensities. The values of orange were developed by mixing the colour with white (paleness) or black (darkness) at 75:25, 50:50, and 25:75. Including pure orange, white, and black, there were 9 values of orange i.e., +1, +3/4, +1/2, +1/4, 0, -1/4, -1/2, -3/4, and -1 as treatments. The treatments were arranged in a randomized block design having three replicates differentiated by light intensity. The density of the eggs was 10,000 per tank filled with 500 L sea water. There was no significant effect (p > 0.05) of paleness or darkness of orange colour on survival rate of the fry, though there was a significant (p < 0.05) decrease in survival rate in the white painted tank. The survival rate of the larvae in the tank painted pale orange (a mixture of orange and white at 75:25) tended to be higher than the ones produced in the other tanks. The survival rate of the fry in orange tanks ranged from 50 to 66%. The lowest survival rate, 3.31%, was obtained in the white painted tank. All values of orange colour tested were suitable for milkfish larval rearing tanks.
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INTRODUCTION

The colour of the larval rearing tank is among the dominant factors affecting the survival and growth of milkfish larvae. The hue of the tank-wall colour reflects a certain amount of energy which is suspected of influencing the ability of fish to identify food organisms. Differences in tank-wall colour change the contrast of food items against the background and so change the ability of the larvae to feed. In turn this would influence the survival and growth rate of the larvae.

According to Halsey et al. (1974), in the Munsell system, every colour consists of hue, chroma, and value. The value of a hue is an increase or a decrease of its paleness or darkness which is related to the neutral colour such as white and black. When a hue is mixed with white, the value increases, and in the opposite when mixed with black, the value decrease.

In rearing fish larvae, the value of a hue is very important because it affects the contrast between food organisms and background, and therefore affects visual discrimination (Lythgoe, 1980; Ostrowski 1989) which may bring about the decrease on feeding rate. A decreasing feeding rate would decrease the survival and growth rate of the larvae. Bagarinao (1991) found that the milkfish eyes are most sensitive to wavelengths between orange and yellow. Ahmad et al. (1994) have shown an orange tank with
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wavelength 590 µm is able to support 60% survival rate of milkfish larvae, which is significantly higher than the survival rate for any other tank colours.

Improved contrast between food organism and background would also be expected to lower the cases of “silvery-eyes” abnormality (Ahmad et al., 1994). The silvery eyes are an indication of blindness, thought to be caused by high light intensities (Noakes and Godin, 1988). The number of silvery-eyes cases could be overcome by proper application of orange tanks.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Twenty seven 1.0 m³ cylindrical fibreglass tanks painted different values of orange were used and arranged in a randomized block design with each treatment having 3 replicates.

The values of orange were obtained by mixing orange (itself a mixture of 2 pure pigments, mercedez red and light chrome yellow, in 50%/50%) with neutral colours, either white or black. The mixing ratios for orange to white or black were 75:25, 50:50, and 25:75, resulting in 6 different values of orange, namely, 1/4, 1/2, 3/4 for pale and -1/4, -1/2, -3/4 for dark. The other values tested were 0 for unmixed orange, +1 for pure white, and -1 for pure black.

Each tank was filled with 500 L of sea water (34 ppt) mixed with algae (Nannochloropsis oculata) and rotifers (Brachionus plicatilis) as larval food. Ten thousands milkfish eggs obtained from the same spawner were put into each tank. Feeds (10⁶ cells algae/mL and 5 rotifers/mL) were given on the second day after the milkfish eggs hatched. Dead food and faeces of the larvae were siphoned away every 5 days and at the same time 70% of the water was exchanged.

The survival rate of larvae was counted by dividing the number of 21-day old larvae by the number of eggs hatched. It was assumed that the egg quality and hatching rate were equal in all treatments. The daily instantaneous growth rate of larvae was calculated with the equation developed by Yamaguchi (1978).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Survival Rate

Survival rate tended to be increased by the addition of white to orange. In the other words, the pale orange tanks supported the survival of milkfish larvae better than the pure orange tank. However, in the tank painted pure white (value +1) the survival rate was only 3.31%, and the larvae were weak and did not respond to physical shock. In contrast, increasing darkness of colour did not affect either survival or growth rate (Table 1).

The highest survival rate seems to be achieved in the tank painted orange at value +1/4, though statistically it was not significantly different (p>0.5) from the survival rate of all but tanks painted +1. The increase in value as a result of white addition beyond 75% is suspected to decrease the contrast between feed organism and its background colour. This would make it difficult for the milkfish larvae to identify its food and eventually lower the predation ability (Bagarinao, 1991).

Based on the performance of larvae in each value of orange (Figure 1) the tank painted orange at values 1/4 to 1/2 seems to be better than the other tanks for rearing milkfish larvae. Both survival rate and daily instantaneous growth rate decreased steadily at values higher than +1/2. In the pure white tanks (value +1) many larvae died due to starvation, proven by empty stomachs and “silvery-eyes” which is an indication of blindness caused by high light intensity and malnutrition (Noakes and Godin, 1988).
Table 1. Average survival and instantaneous growth rate of milkfish (*Chanos chanos*) larvae reared in the tank painted different values of orange.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Colour</th>
<th>Level of value</th>
<th>Survival rate* (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pure white</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>3.31⁹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+ 3/4</td>
<td>52.91a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+ 1/2</td>
<td>61.84a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+1/4</td>
<td>66.54a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pure orange</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50.75a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-1/4</td>
<td>60.98a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-1/2</td>
<td>58.51a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-3/4</td>
<td>62.20a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pure black</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>64.92a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Values followed by similar letter are not significantly different (p>0.05)

The highest survival rate of milkfish fry in this experiment (66.54%) was higher than in previous experiments. Giri *et al.* (1986) reported that the survival rate of milkfish fry in a transparent fibreglass tank was 51.10%, while Prijono *et al.* (1993) obtained 50.05% survival in a black tank. With live rotifers as larval feed, the survival rate of milkfish larvae in a black tank could only achieve 42.73% (Aslianti *et al.*, 1993a). The milkfish fry production data (Table 2) in several different coloured tanks have shown that the orange painted tank was more suitable for milkfish larval rearing than others.

Table 2. Survival rate of milkfish (*Chanos chanos*) fry in various coloured larval rearing tanks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Colour of tank</th>
<th>Survival rate (%)</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transparant</td>
<td>51.10</td>
<td>Giri <em>et al.</em> (1986)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>50.05</td>
<td>Prijono <em>et al.</em> (1993)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>42.73</td>
<td>Aslianti <em>et al.</em> (1993a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red</td>
<td>46.60</td>
<td>Ahmad <em>et al.</em> (1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange</td>
<td>65.75</td>
<td>Ahmad <em>et al.</em> (1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>53.72</td>
<td>Ahmad <em>et al.</em> (1994)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Growth Rate**

Increasing paleness of orange tended to improve the fry sizes both in total length and body weight. The longest fry, 13.8 mm, which was also the heaviest in value + 1/2 (Table 3). Since there is no significant difference in survival rate between values, except for pure white, the density of the larvae is assumed not to affect growth. The contrast between tank wall colour background and colour of food particles is interpreted as increasing the ability of the larvae to identify food. Consequently, the higher the feed contrast, the higher the predation rate, and eventually the higher growth rate. Figure 2 and 3 showing the difference of growth of milkfish larvae reared in the most suitable (value +1/2) and in the most unsuitable tanks (value +1). In those figures, value 0 representing the most suitable colour for milkfish larval rearing tank (orange) reported by Ahmad et al. (1994).

According to Munz (1971) and Guthrie (1986) the fish retina, the main organ to detect light stimulation, is relatively complex, consisting of cone cell photoreception for colour together with bipolar cell ganglia. The eyes of fish larvae pass through very complex functional changes during early larval growth (Kawamura and Hara, 1980; Kawamura and Shimada, 1980, Kawamura, 1984; Fernald, 1985). Ecological factors such as temperature, food availability, and light in its turn also affect growth rate (Brett, 1979).

Milkfish fry have well developed eyes with a duplex retina which is spatially differentiated. The highest density and thickness of cells is located (Bagarinao, 1991). Even though rod intensity is generally low and with a spectrum sensitivity peak between 492-522 μm and 582-621 μm, milkfish fry could differentiate colour (Kawamura and Nishimura, 1980). According to Durve (1986), the fry of most fish could identify white, red, and light green colours. However, the ratio of rods to cone (which is low in milkfish larvae, 1:4:2.0) is suspected to prevent milkfish larvae from identifying food in environments dominated by these colours.

**Tabel 3.** Initial and final total length and body weight of milkfish (Chanos chanos) larvae reared under different values of tank wall colour.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of value</th>
<th>Total length (mm)</th>
<th>Body weight (mg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Initial (5-day old)</td>
<td>Final (21-day old)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+1</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>10.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+3/4</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td>12.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+1/2</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td>13.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+1/4</td>
<td>4.89</td>
<td>12.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.05</td>
<td>12.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1/4</td>
<td>4.69</td>
<td>12.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1/2</td>
<td>5.11</td>
<td>13.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-3/4</td>
<td>5.77</td>
<td>11.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>13.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Survival rate and daily instantaneous growth rate of milkfish larvae reared under different values of orange.
Figure 2. Growth rate, in terms of total length (mm), of milkfish (Chanos chanos) larvae reared under different tank-wall colours.
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Figure 3. Growth rate, in terms of body weight (mg), of milkfish (Chanos chanos) larvae reared under different values of tank wall colour.
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Bagarinao (1991) reported that the total length of wild-caught milkfish fry, which performed and looked like the 21-day old hatchery-bred fry, ranged between 5.8 and 14.6 mm. Prijono et al. (1993) observed the total lengths of 21 days old hatchery-bred larvae reared in transparent and black painted fibreglass tank were 13.44 and 11.07 mm, respectively.

Total length and body weight which were not statistically different between hatchery-bred and wild fry (Table 4) suggest that hatchery-bred milkfish fry are not inferior to wild ones. Among the hatchery fry, the ones grown in pale orange tanks tended to be bigger and healthier.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Conclusions

1. The survival and growth rates of milkfish fry were not affected by increases in darkness of orange used for colouring the wall of the larval rearing tank.

2. The addition of more than 75% white to orange (value more than +3/4) for colouring larvae rearing tanks reduces the survival and growth rate of milkfish larvae.

3. Larval rearing tanks painted white are not suitable for milkfish fry production.

Table 4. Average size of wild caught and 21 days old hatchery-bred milkfish (Chanos chanos) larvae.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>Total length (mm)</th>
<th>Body weight (mg)</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hatchery-bred</td>
<td>12.05</td>
<td>6.57</td>
<td>Sumiarsa (1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hatchery-bred</td>
<td>13.44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Prijono et al. (1993)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hatchery-bred</td>
<td>10.48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Aslianti et al. (1993b)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recommendations

1. To maintain high survival and growth rates, milkfish larvae should be raised in tanks painted orange with the value not exceeding +3/4 and even better at +1/4 to +1/2.

2. To improve the performance of orange hue for milkfish larvae rearing, experiments on chroma should also be carried out.
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