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ABSTRACT

One of the expected benefits of the Sulu-Celebes Sea Project during its implementation is to have increased fish stocks at demonstration sites, as indicated by the Catch per Unit of Effort (CPUE). Analysis of catch and effort data of the small pelagic fisheries by using the surplus yield model was done to obtain information on the likely trend of CPUE for the last ten years. By using the pajeko as the standardized fishing gear the trend of CPUE has been calculated. Between 2000-2005, the trend of production (catch), effort and CPUE followed the general pattern of the exploited fisheries that already fully exploited, where the increasing trend of effort was not followed by the increasing catch. On the other hand, the trend of CPUE is decreased. In the following years, the trend of both catch and CPUE do not follow the general pattern of the exploited fisheries. The trend of catch, effort and CPUE has likely been stable, indicating that the fishery in this period has been leveled-off. The status of exploitation of the small pelagic fish resources in the Indonesian Sulawesi Sea is determined by the MSY level that has likely been surpassed during the period 2003-2004. Therefore with the increasing effort in the following year the trend of catch was relatively stable. It is likely that the small pelagic fish stock in the Indonesian Sulawesi Sea might be 'fully exploited'.
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INTRODUCTION

Different with some terrestrial resources consisted of relatively sedentary species and visible, the fish resources are invisible as they occupy habitat in the form of water body. But the fish resources provide living animal that are always moving and migrating in the effort of adjustment to fulfill their metabolic requirement suitable to the dynamic of biophysical environment. Most of the fish resources available in the waters around the districts located in the northern part of East Kalimantan Province or western part the Sulawesi Sea carry out their movement and migration from one place to another following the oceanographic conditions. Because the fish resource provides living animal, therefore they are belong to that so called renewable resources. Although, these resources could sustainably exploit when exploitation or fishing activities carry out do not exceed their ability to recover.

The classical method of monitoring changes in fish stock abundance has been the use of catch and effort statistics from the commercial fishery. This has two big advantages as it can be cheap, since the basic data may be collected for other purposes, and because it may be based on the operations of hundreds of vessels, and tens of thousands or more fishing operations, the sample variance can be very small (Ulltang, 1977).
MATERIALS AND METHODS

In relation with the availability of statistical catch and effort data, data analysis carried out cover serial data of 2000-2009. Analysis of catch and effort data was focused to the small pelagic fish resources and its fishing gears used. As it is known that the fish resources in the waters of the Indonesian Sulawesi Sea has been exploited using a number of difference fishing gear with difference catchability. For the application of the surplus yield model, based on the available data a standardization of fishing gears need to be carried out through the estimation of fishing power index (FPI). With this work, the calculated annual fishing effort unit is presented in the form of equivalent with the standardized fishing gear.

The estimated FPI were calculated based on the production per unit gear, a sort of data available in the book of provincial fisheries statistics. Fishing gear with highest catch per gear having the FPI = 1.000 and assumed as a standard gear or standard effort. The FPI of other fishing gear were obtained by comparing catch per gear of a certain gear with the catch per gear that having FPI = 1.000. The annual total fishing effort was obtained through the addition of the multiplication between the number of fishing unit in a certain year and the FPI of that gear. Based on the appropriate provincial statistical data, the calculated FPI of each fishing gear for the small pelagic fisheries are presented in Table 1. The standard fishing gear for the small pelagic fishery in this analysis was mini purse seine or locally called as pajeko.

Table 1. Fishing gears and the estimated Fishing Power Index (FPI) in the waters of Indonesian Sulawesi Sea.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fishing gear</th>
<th>FPI</th>
<th>Fishing gear</th>
<th>FPI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pajeko (mini purse</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>Raft lift net</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>seine)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fixed lift net</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drift gill net</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>Other lift net</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encircling net</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beach seine</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The estimated FPI was based on the assumption that the same fishing gear in the period of 2000-2009 having the same catchability, same size or constant catchability which is needed for the application of the model (Pitcher & Hart, 1982).

The final data resulted from the analysis provide basic data for the application of the Surplus Production Model (Sparre & Venema, 1999) that lead to the identification the trend of catch, effort and catch per-unit effort (CPUE) that provide indicators for sustainable development. One of the indicators for sustainable development of fish resources is the time series of stock abundance index as one of the index of abundance or catch per-unit of effort (CPUE).

RESULTS

Sulu-Celebes Sea, with its terrestrial, coastal and marine ecosystems, were located in the global center of tropical biodiversity, exemplified by more than 500 species of reef-building corals and 2500 species of marine fishes (Chou, 1997; Veron, 2000). Five species of sea turtles (Green, Hawksbill, Olive Ridley, Loggerhead & Leatherback) and at least 22 species of marine mammals occur (GIWA, 2003). The marine fishery contributes significantly to the economies of Indonesia. Indonesia fisheries statistics indicate that North Sulawesi and East Kalimantan provide some 11% of the total national marine fishery landings (1997) (Khan & Fauzi, 2001). The fishery, although multispecies, is comprised predominantly by pelagic and to a lesser extent reef species.

In this study it is defined that the pelagic resources consist of large and small pelagic groups. The large pelagic species include tuna, skipjack, tuna like/ frigate mackerel and Spanish mackerel, while the small pelagic include scads, chub mackerel, sardines, anchovies, trevallies and big-eye trevally.

Unit Stock Identification

From taxonomical aspect, the fish resources in the waters around Districts and City of Tarakan are diverse in species, so that for the management and optimal as well as sustainable exploitation will need a number of assessment activities in relation with life history and population dynamics of the fish resource in more detail. The ability to identify fish species and unit stock provides a first step in fish stock assessment and these will determine the following studies. If the first step is not accurate, the level of accuracy in the following steps will be diverted and will lead to under or over estimated results and these will further affect the identification of optimal level of exploitation and their management measures.
Due to the large fishing area and high diversity of fisheries economic scales on one side, while information obtained from research results are still very limited, so that for the implementation of research activities it needs to consider the limits of unit stocks, such as geographical barrier and stock parameters such as rates of growth and mortality. Beside the stock parameters estimation that can only be carried out after a number of data and information been collected in a relatively longer time, from the distribution of fishing activities and the existing geographical barrier will lead to the identification of unit stock. In case otherwise, assumption should be made that data analyzed are originated from one unit stock. This assumption is likely valid as this is supported by the genetic analysis reported by Borsa, (2003). It is further stated that the data presented in his study failed to support Hardenberg’s, (1937) hypothesis of three stocks in the western part of the Indo-Malay archipelago. Rohfritsch & Borsa, (2005) reported that at the scale of the entire Indo-Malay archipelago, however, at least three distinct populations of D. russelli were identified by the present study: (i) Arafura Sea, (ii) Sulawesi Sea and Makassar Strait and (iii) the rest of the Indo-Malay archipelago. A broadscale genetic homogeneity from the South China Sea to the Sulawesi Sea via the Java Sea and Makassar Strait have been reported by Arnaud et al. (1999), while Perrin & Borsa, (2001) stated that the distinction of two clades within D. russelli is compatible with Pleistocene events that isolated the Sulawesi sea region from other areas in the Indo-Malay archipelago.

Status of Small Pelagic Fisheries

The present-day important of the maximum sustainable yield (MSY) is not so much as an objective to be rigidly followed in reaching decisions, but as a very convenient concept for use in discussing general management problems. This convenience arises because MSY serves, at least three distinct functions – a description of the facts of life regarding fish stocks in relation to exploitation, a clearly definable objective of management, and a measure of the success with which a stock is being managed (Gulland, 1983). Fishing grounds of the small pelagic fish in the waters of the Indonesian Sulawesi Sea are relatively large. This is due to the fact that the pajeko fishing gear operation with their high mobility, it is known that the small pelagic fish resources available in the waters are diverse with their wide distribution. From the information obtained during the survey period it was informed that some pajeko fishers operate their gear in relatively far away from the Tarakan Bay as they carry out fishing in the bordering waters area with Sabah, Malaysia.

The recorded small pelagic fish and analyzed based on the available catch and effort data were scads, trevallies, hard-tail scad, flying fish, mullets, sardine, rainbow sardines, chub mackerel, barracuda and gar-fish.

Trend of production, effort and CPUE for the small pelagic fish group recorded in the book of fisheries statistics are presented in the Table 2. From that table it is appeared that during 1999-2006, the highest production of about 30,500 tonnes was recorded in 2003 while the lowest production of about 17,000 tonnes was recorded in 2000. Different with the production, the highest annual efforts of 3,194 equivalent pajeko units (mini purse seine) occurred in 2005 and the lowest efforts of 574 units were recorded in 2000. The fluctuation of CPUE in that period were likely followed the pattern of catch, where the highest CPUE of approximately 32 tonnes/pajeko units/year was occurred in 2003, while the lowest CPUE of only 8.6 tonnes/pajeko unit/year occurred in 2005.

Based on data in Table 2, the trends of production, effort and CPUE are presented in Figure 1. From the figure it is appeared that between 2000 – 2005 the trend of production (catch), effort and CPUE followed the general pattern of the exploited fisheries that already fully exploited. This is shown in the figure where the increasing trend of effort was not followed by the increasing catch. On the other hand, the CPUE decreased (Figure 1). In the following years, the trend of both catch and CPUE do not follow the general pattern of the exploited fisheries. The trends of catch, effort and CPUE have likely been stable, indicating that the fishery in this period has been level-off.

What is the recent status of exploitation of the small pelagic fish resources in the Indonesian Sulawesi Sea, it is appeared that the MSY level has likely been surpassed during the period 2003-2004. Therefore, with the increasing effort in the following year the trend of catch is likely relatively stable. It seems that the distribution of annual production points since 2005-2008 has already in the right hand side of the yield curve. It is likely that the present status of exploitation of the small pelagic fish is already in the state of ‘fully exploited’.
Table 2. Production (catch), effort and catch per unit of effort (CPUE) of the small pelagic fisheries in the waters of the Indonesian Sulawesi Sea Fisheries Management Area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Catch (tonnes)</th>
<th>Effort *)</th>
<th>CPUE **)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>17,166</td>
<td>574</td>
<td>29.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>25,696</td>
<td>758</td>
<td>33.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>22,055</td>
<td>956</td>
<td>23.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>30,534</td>
<td>956</td>
<td>31.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>24,002</td>
<td>1,470</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>27,325</td>
<td>3,194</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>26,179</td>
<td>2,918</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>26,561</td>
<td>2,888</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>26,565</td>
<td>2,920</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*) Equivalent pajeko unit; **) Tonnes/pajeko unit/year

Figure 1. Trend of Production (catch), effort (pajeko unit) and catch per-unit of effort (CPUE) of the small pelagic fisheries in the waters of the Indonesian Sulawesi Sea Fisheries Management Area.

With regard to the transboundary aspects it is likely that the small pelagic fish stock (especially roundscads, Decapterus spp.) in the waters of Indonesian Sulawesi Sea forming one unit stock. As it is known that the roundscads, the most abundance and economically important small pelagic fish provide a relatively higher migratory species compare with the demersal fish. This phenomenon indicates that their exploitation forming a shared stock and consequently this resource has to be collaboratively managed. Information that has to be available will include their spawning ground, migratory pathway and some other population parameters.

**Trend of Catch Composition of the Small Pelagic Fisheries**

The occurring changes in species composition of fish caught in a certain waters reflecting a picture of the arising interactions that happening in a dynamic community as a result of the existence of fish population and a very dynamic nature of marine environment. These interactions can occur in form of predator-prey relationship or food competition.

From the result of the small pelagic resources data analysis in the waters of the Indonesian Sulawesi Sea it was found that the annual catch of the species group shows a slightly different trend. With the assumption that these production (catch) data were proportional with the abundance of the fish resource in the sea, the occurring catch fluctuation is likely a response from the small pelagic fish community on the disturbing factors from outside. The main disturbing outside factors in the context of the exploited fisheries are fishing activities.
The five species groups of the most economically important fish include scads and trevallies (Carangidae), anchovies (Engraulidae), chub mackerel (Scombridae), and sardines (Clupeidae). Other three dominant species groups with a little lower level of abundance include, flying fish (Exocoetidae), mullets (Mugillidae) and gar-fish (Hemirhampidae). The eight groups of the small pelagic fish are likely forming some species interaction among them, especially in the form of food competition. As be already acknowledged that feeding behaviour of most small pelagic species is plankton feeder. The most vulnerable species from the five groups of the small pelagic fish are likely the sardines group (\textit{Sardinella spp})., the mackerels and the trevallies. This was indicated by the relatively low level of the trend of annual production. Between 2000-2004 the trend of annual production was fluctuated, while in the following year was relatively stable (Figure 2). From the figure it is implied that the small pelagic fish production is dominated by scads, that reflecting the highest level of abundance of the species groups.

DISCUSSION

\textit{Pajeko} is the dominant fishing gears used in western part of Sulawesi, away from the Tarakan Bay as they carry out fishing in the bordering waters area with Sabah, Malaysia. There are five species groups of the most economically important fish include scads and trevallies (Carangidae), anchovies (Engraulidae), chub mackerel (Scombridae), and sardines (Clupeidae). From catch composition analysis was implied that the small pelagic fish production is dominated by scads, that reflecting the highest level of abundance of the species groups.

CPUE trend between 2000 - 2009 showed that the highest CPUE of approximately 32 tonnes/pajeko units/year was occurred in 2003 of where total catch and effort was 30,500 tonnes and 956 equivalent pajeko units, respectively. Between 2000 – 2005, the trend followed the general pattern of the exploited fisheries that already fully exploited, where the increasing trend of effort was not followed by the increasing catch. On the other hand, the trends of catch, effort and CPUE have likely been stable, indicating that the fishery in this period has been level-off.

According to Borsa, (2003) that failed to support Hardenberg's, (1937) hypothesis of three stocks of scad (\textit{Decapterus} sp.) in the western part of the Indo-Malay archipelago. There are three unit stocks of \textit{D. russelli} rested in the Indo-Malay waters, namely: (i) Arafura Sea, (ii) Sulawesi Sea and Makassar Strait and (iii) the rest of the Indo-Malay archipelago. This is the important assumption for assessment of life history and population dynamics of the fish resource in Tarakan Waters.

With regard to the transboundary aspects it is likely that the small pelagic fish stock (especially roundscads, \textit{Decapterus} spp.) in the waters of Indonesian Sulawesi Sea forming one unit stock. As it is known that the roundscads, shared stock, the most abundance and economically important small pelagic fish. Consequently, this resource has to be collaboratively managed.

Exploitation of pelagic and reef fisheries is also expected to increase, with a shift from local (subsistence) forms of fishing towards commercial, high capital investment forms. The expectation is will provide significant future deterioration, with likely severe socioeconomic hardship, particularly for the majority of the poor rural population (Abdullah et al.,}
2003; Licuanan & Gomez, 2000; Ingles, 2000). It is caused by the rapidly increasing coastal population, level of poverty, greater commercialization, decline in resources, lack of effective regulation and poor—nonexistent enforcement.

CONCLUSION

From the analysis of catch and effort data of the small pelagic fisheries by using the surplus yield it revealed that the trend of production (catch), effort and CPUE followed the general pattern of the exploited fisheries that already fully exploited, where the increasing trend of effort was not followed by the increasing catch. On the other hand, the CPUE decreased. In the following years the trends of catch, effort and CPUE have likely been stable, indicating that the fishery in this period has been level-off. It is likely that the present status of exploitation of the small pelagic fish might be already in the state of ‘fully exploited’.

The most important aspect that needs to be considered is that the minimum level of CPUE of the gear (pajeko) that fishing activity is still economically profitable. Other aspect that also need to pay attention is that how to maintain optimum level of CPUE. This is due to the fact that if the effort tends to increase it can be expected that CPUE will decrease. The decrease of CPUE will certainly deduct the return of operated fishing gear unit that finally leads to the bigger loss for every individual fisher.
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